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## Description of SPI Project

The Subsidy Policy Impact (SPI) Research Project aims to increase understanding of how child care subsidy policy affects parents' decisions about employment and child care. Substantial changes in Oregon child care subsidy policy in October 2007 provided the impetus for the research project. Oregon went from having the least to having nearly the most generous subsidy policies in the country and this change provide an opportunity to examine how subsidy policy impact families.

## Methods

The Project uses a sequential, mixed-method design in which three studies, each of The Project uses a sequential, mixed-method design in which three studies, each of picture of parents' experiences than could be provided with any single study.

The first study involved in-depth interviews with 44 parents and 17 of their provider (Qualitative Study). Findings from that study shaped development of the questionnaire used in the telephone survey of 580 parents with 926 children under the age of 13 (Telephone Survey). The first study also provided the research questions being addressed in the third study, the analysis of administrative data for the over A,00 parents who entered the subsidy program between 2005 and 2009 (Secondary Analysis). In this poster we report the findings from the Telephone Survey with reference to the Qualitative Study findings.

## Findings

Overwhelmingly, the findings from the Telephone Survey confirmed the findings from the Qualitative Study. Findings related to parental perceptions of child care subsidies include

## Without a subsidy I could not work

- In the in-depth interviews parents volunteered that they did not know how they could work or what they would do without the subsidy program.
They also reported concern about their children's well-being without the subsidy program.
These results were confirmed by responses to a question of what parents would do if they lost the subsidy in the telephone survey. Almost two-thirds ( $64 \%$ ) of whom reported they would have to quit their job or they really did not know how they could make it work.

| Top Five Responses to What Parents Would Do Without Subsidy Assistance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Would not work / quit my job | 136 |  |
| Have no idea / I am not sure | 104 |  |
| I would have a hard time making it / I would struggle | 49 |  |
| Get anothe job $/$ /Work more | 39 |  |
| Would not be able to afford childcare | 39 |  |

Subsidy receipt affects parent child care decision making

- First, subsidized primary providers were twice as likely to be a center and about five times as likely to be regulated nonrelative caregivers as were those primary providers paid totally with parents' own funds. After exit parents were most likely to be with relatives ( $60.7 \%$ ) or unregulated nonrelatives ( $19 \%$ ).
Type of Care Categories by Primary Providers Paid and Not Paid by DHS

|  | Subsidy Participants |  | Subsidy Leavers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary <br> Provider Paid <br> by DHS <br> $N=696$ | Primary <br> Provider Not <br> Paid by DHS* <br> $N=52$ | Primary Provider Not <br> Paid by DHS <br> $N=121$ |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
|  | 20.3 | 10.0 | 10.2 |
| Center | 23.3 | 4.2 | 0.5 |
| Regulated Nonrelative | 13.3 | 2.1 | 19.0 |
| Unregulated Nonrelative | 9.3 | 7.6 | 9.7 |
| Inhome | 33.9 | 76.1 | 60.7 |
| Relative |  |  |  |

*A small number of subsidy participants ( $52,7 \%$ ) used the program only for secondary providers.

- Second, education and skill of the provider and supporting children's learning were in parents' highest ranked reasons for selecting subsidized providers but not in the same list for unsubsidized providers. It appears that subsidy receipt is associated
 retaionships and no oher relationships and no other options were top reasons for provider selection for

Most Important Reason for Selecting Provider to Get What Parent Wants for Child: Ranking by Provider Pay Status

|  | Subsidy Parent / Subsidized Provider $N=394$ | Subsidy Parent / Un-Subsidized Provider $N=36$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Subsidy y } \\ & \text { Leavers } \\ & N=80 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caregiver is trustworthy | $1^{\text {st }}$ | ${ }^{\text {st }}$ | ${ }^{\text {st }}$ |
| Location is safe, healthy, and clean | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |
| Caregiver shares your values | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ |
| Familial relationships | Not in top five | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |
| Education and skill of provider | $4^{\text {th }}$ | Not in top five | Not in top five |
| Caregiver helps children do well in school | $5^{\text {th }}$ | Not in top five | Not in top five |
| No other option | Not in top five | $4^{\text {th }}$ | Not selected |
| All of the above | Not in top fi | Not selected | 5th |

## Affordability is an issue even for parents receiving a subsidy

The vast majority of subsidy recipients $(83 \%)$ have child care costs and half ( $51 \%$ have costs in addition to their copay (e, fees, trition above the maximum paym rate).
Child care costs consume a substantial share of household income for parents receiving and not receiving a subsidy
Child care costs for working subsidy parents were almost as great as those of working parents not currently receiving a subsidy (\$217.18 versus $\$ 251.25$ ).
Child care costs represented, on average, $17.2 \%$ of household income for subsidy participants and $27.3 \%$ for subsidy leaver

| Child Care Costs and Cost Burden for Subsidy Participants and Subsidy Leaver Participants Who Used Child Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidy Participants $N=438$ |  |  | Subsidy Leavers $N=92$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { parents } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { whild } \\ \text { chare } \\ \text { costs } \\ \text { cost } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Amount of Cost Burden (monthly) |  | $\%$ ofparentswithchildcarecosts | $\underset{\text { (monthly) Burden }}{\text { Amount Con }}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (Std. Dev) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rangel } \\ & \text { Median } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { (Std. Dev) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rangel } \\ & \text { Median } \end{aligned}$ |
| All parents in this category | 82.8\% | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 215.68 \\ (\$ 462.13) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$2-1100 | 45.7\% | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 251.25 \\ (\$ 656.02) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$40-1080 |
| Cost burden as a percent of household income | 82.8\% | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \% \\ (40.66) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 14.2\% | 45.7\% | $\begin{aligned} & 27.3 \% \\ & (82.26) \end{aligned}$ | 17\% |
| Work, School, or Training | 79.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 217.18 \\ & (\$ 468.13) \end{aligned}$ | \$2-1100 | 45.7\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 251.25 \\ & (\$ 656.02) \end{aligned}$ | \$40-1080 |
| No Work, School, or Training | 3.4\% | \$178.49 | \$34-350 |  |  |  |

Managing child care costs affects family well-being
With or without a subsidy, parents manage child care costs primarily by increasing an juggling debt and reducing expenditures, including essentials such as medical care
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