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Session Format

• Panel members presentation (10 minutes each)
  1. A state approach to cross system professional development systems that includes embedded opportunities for research;
  2. Experiences and research related to efforts to balance diversity with increased educational requirements, and
  3. Experiences and evaluation tools for developing state cross agency professional development teams to support inclusive opportunities for young children.

• Opportunities for discussion of challenges and strategies for supporting cross-sector professional development.
A State Example of PD Systems
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Cross Sector System

Key System Components

- Standards
  - Birth to 3 years
  - 3 and 4 years
- Parent Guides to the Standards

Continuous Assessment Guide
- Recommended screening, diagnostic and classroom/instructional assessment tools
- Recommendations for how to use classroom/instructional assessment tools to measure child progress on the standards

KY Early Childhood Data System (REDS) Data Platform
- Links items from 12 classroom/instructional assessment tools to standards and 0-3 ECE outcomes
- Provides program and state-level reports

Major Players

- Child Care
- Public Pre-K
- Early Intervention
- HANDS
- Healthy Start
- Infant Mental Health
- Head Start
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross System PD Data for Research &amp; Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Pre-K</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credentialed Trainers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interagency Collaboration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kentucky Partnership for Early Childhood Services – TIPP, CCR&R, QEI, KEDS, KECTP
## Sample Cross-Sector PD Planning

### PD Framework – Technical Assistance Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Level</th>
<th>Regional System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop/implement overall plan for dissemination of the Revised PD Framework</td>
<td>ID processes/procedures for recording &amp; reporting TA activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define specific terms related to TA &amp; support activities</td>
<td>ID specific qualifications of TA providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define major areas of TA provider competency</td>
<td>ID evidence based practice/standards to support TA activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide a framework for level and intensity of TA</td>
<td>Define levels of intensity/duration of TA &amp; establish outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define critical levels of evaluation to measure impact.</td>
<td>Develop plan to measure the impact/effectiveness of TA services &amp; supports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Research Questions

• Link between PD, quality and outcomes
• What level and intensity of PD supports provide most benefit?
• What is the impact of career paths on quality?
  – Level and intensity of course or credential content
• What Administrator factors most influence teacher/provider skill and quality.
The Bottom Line about Quality

• Quality scores increased as number of training sessions and training hours increased.
• Topic-specific training had an impact on quality scores across program types.
• Work environment was related to quality.....
  – Teachers who felt more positive about their environment had higher quality.
• When controlling for use of PD Framework components, the relationship between education and quality equalized across program types.
Use of PD Framework and Quality

When controlling for Framework Component use gaps in quality lessened & became non-significant.
Ongoing Challenges for Cross Sector PD Research

• Maintaining and Building Relationships given Constant Changes in State Staff
• Understanding Policy and Practice Context from Multiple Perspectives
• Implications of Congruent System Design over Time.
• Constructing Measures that Address Differences in Program Nomenclature and PD System Design
• Constructing Quality Measures that Better Represent Range of Quality across Environments
Key Cross Sector Strategies

• Researcher Involvement in Cross Agency Groups

• Support to Key State Administrators
  – Developing foundational systems
  – Linking systems and components
  – Ready access to data
  – Guidance on research and implications
  – Historical perspective
Balancing Diversity and Increased Educational Requirements
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Helping states achieve an integrated professional development system that supports high quality inclusion

What Do We Mean by Professional Development in the Early
Professional Development in Early Childhood

- **WHO**: Characteristics of learners and contexts
- **WHAT**: Content of professional development
- **HOW**: Approaches to professional development

Highly effective teaching & intervening

- **Political Climate**
- **Resources**
- **Organizational Structures**

Policies
Core PD Components: WHO

- Policies
- Characteristics of learners and contexts
- Political Climate
- Resources
- Organizational Structures
Core PD Components: WHO

WHAT
Content of professional development

- Policies
- Political Climate
- Resources
- Organizational Structures
Core PD Components: WHO

How
Approaches to professional development

Policies
Organizational Structures
Political Climate
Resources
Access NPDCI Resources and Conversations!
Share Your Expertise!

http://community.fpg.unc.edu/

Current Discussion
The National Professional Development Center on Inclusion (NPDCI) has developed a concept paper, “What Do We Mean by...”

Figure 1. A Conceptual Framework for Professional Development
Building Cross-Sector PD Approaches in Your State

STEP 1 - Set the Stage
- Identify an individual to coordinate the process
- Engage cross-sector leaders to work together
- Create a profile of state resources related to PD
- Identify the potential benefits

STEP 2 - Discuss core components: Who, what, how

STEP 3 - Discuss key contexts and supports for PD:
Organizational structures, access and outreach, policies, resources, and evaluation
Building Cross-Sector PD Approaches in Your State

STEP 4 – Clarify your vision

STEP 5 – Identify goals for attaining your vision

STEP 6 – Develop your plan

STEP 7 – Evaluate your progress
National Professional Development Center on Inclusion

The Landscape: A Statewide Survey for Providers of Professional Development in Early Childhood

Draft 7-9-08

Purpose

This survey is designed to gather information that will produce a landscape of professional development in early childhood in your state. The intended respondents are professional development providers—those who provide learning opportunities and support for practitioners (the learners) who work directly with young children (birth to 8) and their families. The information gathered by the Landscape can be used to describe who the learners are, what professional development content they receive, and how learners acquire core competencies and get support to apply what they learn in practice.

Directions

This survey is divided into several sections: (I) the who, (II) the what, and (III) the how of professional development, along with (IV) questions about key contexts and supports for professional development. A final section is designed to gather background information about you, the provider. Read each of the items below and follow the directions to select the answer that most accurately reflects your own experience as a provider of professional development in your state or local community. In answering the questions, draw upon your
Summary of NPDCI Evaluation Plan
For Discussion

1. What framework for planning and evaluating PD are you currently using in your state or local efforts, and what aspects of the planning process do you find most helpful and challenging?

2. What tools and resources are you using to guide the planning process, the implementation, and the evaluation of PD? For example, what types of information are you gathering to determine needs and resources for PD and what methods have you found most helpful in evaluating PD?

3. What methods can the field use to determine whether PD is being implemented as intended and to document that practitioners are applying the knowledge and skills they gain through PD in their practice settings?