Alabama

State Description

Population of state: 4,447,100

Number of children under 13 years of age: **867,536**Regulation of any child care facilities that are located on an Indian Reservation or in a tribal service area: **No**Date of market rate survey on which profile is based:

6/15/2005

Organization with Primary Responsibility for Following Tasks

Determined which facilities to survey: **Lead Agency** Created survey questions: Lead Agency Assisted facilities to complete survey: Lead Agency Collected price data from facilities: Lead Agency Cleaned the collected data: **Lead Agency** Analyzed price data: **Lead Agency** Interpreted findings: Lead Agency Wrote reports on findings: Task not done Published results: Task not done

Facilities Surveyed

Database used to identify facilities:

Facilities regulated by child care <u>licensing</u> agency: **Yes**Facilities receiving child care <u>subsidies</u>: **Yes**Facilities enrolled with <u>R&R</u> agency: **No**

Facilities included in the dataset	Included	Number	Type of sample
Licensed facilities	Most	3,901	Total
Family child care facilities who are legally exempt from licensing	No		
Family, friends, or neighbors who are legally exempt from licensing	No		
Centers that are legally exempt from licensing	Yes	4,637	Total
After-school education (non-licensed)	Yes	Unknown	Total
Providers located on military facilities	Yes	Unknown	Total
Facilities located on tribal reservations or in tribal service areas and licensed by the STATE.	No		
Facilities located on tribal reservations or in tribal service areas and licensed by the TRIBE.	No		
TOTAL facilities in final dataset		8,538+	

Data Collection

Method(s): **Mail**

Strategies to encourage facilities to participate:

None reported

Number of facilities tried to reach: **4,637** # of facilities who refused to participate: **None reported**

of facilities dropped from the sample: 367 # of facilities who completed the survey: 1,520 Date data collection was completed: 5/20/2005 Time needed for a single facility to give

information: 16-20 minutes

How facilities reported price information: **Into pre-determined modes provided on the survey** Asked about the # of children in care that receive or haid at No.

subsidy: **Yes**

Were any facilities that served subsidized

children excluded? No

Data Analysis

Facilities excluded from analysis: **Head Start** programs, **State-funded pre-kindergarten** programs, **Migrant Head Start, Part-day, Partweek programs**

Unit of analysis: Facility

Were prices converted to some standard mode such as to monthly or hourly price? Yes; Weekly rate

Did state measure amount of accessibility maximum rate provides? **No**

Rate Setting

Date current payment rates were set: **10/01/2005** Rates adjusted based on recent survey: **No** Are payment rates set at or above the 75th percentile of prices? **No**

Important factors in setting current rates:

- 1 Desire to maximize the number of families with access to subsidies
- 2—State funding for child care
- 3-Level of federal CCDF funding

	Ways Price Data	Current Rates	
	was Analyzed	Set By	
Geography			
County	No	No	
Zip-Code	No	No	
Region	Yes	Yes	
State	No	No	
Other geographic area	-	No	
Age Group			
Infant care	Yes	Yes	
Toddler care	Yes	Yes	
Preschool-age care	Yes	Yes	
School-age care	Yes	Yes	
Type of Care			
Center	Yes	Yes	
Family	Yes	Yes	
Group home	Yes	Yes	
In-home	No	No	
Family, friends, neighbors	No	Yes	
School-age centers	No	No	
School-age enrichment activities	No	No	
Special needs care	This project did not ask this question on the questionnaire.	No	
Pricing Mode	•		
Hourly	No	This project did not	
Daily	No	ask this question	
Weekly	Yes	on the questionnaire.	
Monthly	No	questionnaire.	

<u>Total Cost of Market Rate Survey</u>:

Lead Agency:

Other agency:

State R&R Network:

University/College:

Research or survey firm:

Other contractor:

Comments: Several staff in different areas of the Department contributed to the survey process, including Information Systems personnel, Finance personnel, Printing Department and State child care staff, printing. Extensive staff time was more a factor than cost.

Report is Available for Download at: Not available